

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,378	07/25/2003	Marie Delebarre	2-1032-239	3904
75	90 10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
c/o YOUNG & THOMPSON			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
Suite 200 745 South 23rd Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22202			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Office Action Summary		10/627,378	DELEBARRE, MARIE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Arthur L. Corbin	1761				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WH - Ex aft - If I - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dotensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period villure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communic 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
11√	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07-2</u> -	4-06					
•		action is non-final.					
3)[· —		secution as to the merit	e ie			
٠,ــ	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispos	ition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
•			Evaminer				
. • , _	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '	• •	21/4\			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachme	int(s)						
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Noi	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,378

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lodge (EP 0,418,955, entire spec. and claim 5) in view of Fouache et al (cols. 1-4 and 6) as set forth in paragraph no. 4, Paper No. 042006.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed July 24, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Motivation for replacing part of Lodge's maltodextrins with the branched maltodextrins disclosed in Fouache et al has been provided in the rejection set forth above via in Paper No. 042006. Although the purpose for using said branched maltodextrins may be different than applicant's intended purpose, the law of obviousness does not require that references be combined for the same reasons contemplated by the inventor, but only looks to whether some motivation or suggestion to combine references is provided by the prior art taken as a whole (In re Beattie, 24 USPQ 2d 1040). Since this burden has been met in said rejection, a prima facie case of obviousness has been established which has not been successfully rebutted by applicant.
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1761

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1761

Arthur L Corbin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

Page 4